

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

**TODD J. TAYLOR, on his own behalf and
on behalf of all those similarly situated,**)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
ANTHEM INSURANCE COMPANIES,)
INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)


NO. 3:23-cv-00541

**JUDGE CAMPBELL
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FRENSELY**

ORDER

Pending before the Court is Defendants’ motion to strike class allegations (Doc. No. 17), which is fully briefed. (Doc. Nos. 21, 25, 33, 36). Based on the record before the Court, it would be premature to conclude that no amount of discovery could demonstrate commonality or predominance in this case. *See Doe v. City of Memphis*, 928 F.3d 481, 497 (6th Cir. 2019) (reversing district court's decision to strike class allegations when plaintiffs had not yet had an opportunity for “meaningful discovery”). Accordingly, Defendants’ motion is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.



WILLIAM L. CAMPBELL, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE